IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

NOV 21 1997

Edwin A. Allseitz, Jr.

Plaintiff,

S

CIVIL ACTION NO.H-97-2632

Arthur Levitt, et al.

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff filed this case, *pro se*, on August 5, 1997. Since then, there has been no evidence of any activity to prosecute the case. There is no return of service in this court's file. On August 5, 1997, the court ordered plaintiff to appear for initial pretrial and scheduling conference, and to submit a discovery/case management plan. The court's order admonished him that failure to comply as directed would result in dismissal for want of prosecution. The plaintiff has failed to comply.

The plaintiff's *pro se* status is no excuse for failure to comply with procedural requirements. *See Faretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806, 835 n.46 (1975); *see generally Link v. Wabash Railroad*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962). Therefore, in the interest of justice and judicial economy, and in order to

5

permit consideration of cases which parties are actively pursuing, this case will be dismissed for want of prosecution.

SIGNED on <u>Posente 21</u>, 1997, at Houston, Texas.

Lee H. Rosenthal United States District Judge

ClibPDF - www.fastio.com